

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/857,128	Applicant(s) MURDIN ET AL.	
	Examiner N. M. Minnifield	Art Unit 1645	

All Participants:

(1) N. M. Minnifield.

(2) Michele Simkin, 34717.

Status of Application: final rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 January 2005

Time: 12:30 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner informed that Applicants have let the application lapse. Applicants did not respond to the June 9, 2004 final office action. This application is now abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 NITA MINNIFIELD
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)